

Franklin Chu (朱彥霖)

Senior Associate, Gemini Law LLP



 fchu@geminilaw.com

LANGUAGE

- English
- Chinese

BAR ADMISSIONS

- Texas
- Washington
- United States Patent and Trademark Office

EXPERIENCE

Franklin's work includes the following representative matters:

- *Celltrion, Inc. v. Chugai Seiyaku Kabushiki Kaisha et al.*, IPR2022-00578 and -00579 (P.T.A.B.). Represented petitioner in challenging patents covering tocilizumab. The PTAB issued a Final Written Decision finding all challenged claims of two patents unpatentable.
- *Celltrion, Inc. v. Regeneron Pharms. Inc.*, IPR2023-00462 (P.T.A.B.). Represented petitioner in challenging patents covering aflibercept. The patent owner disclaimed all claims.
- *Favored Tech Corporation et al v. P2i, Ltd.*, IPR2020-00085 (P.T.A.B.). Represented a petitioner in challenging a patent directed to applying liquid-repellent coating through plasma deposition. The patent owner disclaimed all claims prior to institution.
- *Unified Patents Inc. v. Health Watch, LLC*, PR2019-00509 (P.T.A.B.). Represented a petitioner in challenging a patent directed to wearable sensors and monitoring devices. Shortly after the PTAB instituted review, the patent owner filed a Notice of Abandonment indicating abandonment of the contest.

EXPERIENCE - Continued

- Represented an international manufacturing company in a private arbitration before the American Arbitration Association. The proceeding involved claims of patent infringement and contract violation. Mr. Chu provided counsel through all stages of the proceeding, including pleadings, discovery, infringement and invalidity analysis, formulating contractual defenses, preparing foreign witnesses for deposition, and arbitration trial.
- Represented a Fortune 500 company in a dispute against a non-practicing entity. Preparation of petitions for inter partes review and development of invalidity positions helped secure favorable settlement.
- Represented a developer of computer bus systems accused of patent infringement in district court. Preparation of petitions for inter partes review and development of invalidity positions helped secure favorable settlement prior to petition filing.
- *Kinik Company v. Chien-Min Sung*, IPR2014-01523 (P.T.A.B.). Represented petitioner, a manufacturer of superabrasive tools, in challenging a patent. All challenged claims were found unpatentable.
- *Veeva Systems Inc. v. Prolifiq Software Inc.*, IPR2014-01253, -01431, -01432, -01467, -01472, -01473, -01474 (P.T.A.B.). Represented petitioner, a cloud-computing company, in challenging patents that were asserted against it in district court. Favorable settlement was reached prior to patent owner's preliminary response.

PUBLICATIONS

Supplemental Jurisdiction over Foreign Patents: Permissible, So Long as Limitations Apply, 26 Emory Int'l L. Rev. 283 (2012)

CREDENTIALS

Education

- J.D., Emory University School of Law, 2012
- B.S., Chemical Engineering, The Cooper Union, 2009

Bar admissions and qualifications

- **Bar**
 - Texas, 2012
 - Washington, 2018
 - United States Patent and Trademark Office (Reg. No. 75,946)
- **Courts**
 - U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
 - U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas
 - U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit